Quarterly Reporting Template - Guidance

Notes for Completion

The data collection template requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to track through the high level metrics and deliverables from the Health & Wellbeing Board Better Care Fund plan.

The completed return will require sign off by the Health & Wellbeing Board.

A completed return must be submitted to the Better Care Support Team inbox (england.bettercaresupport@nhs.net) by midday on 9th September 2016.

The BCF Q1 Data Collection

This Excel data collection template for Q1 2016-17 focuses on budget arrangements, the national conditions, income and expenditure to and from the fund, and performance on BCF metrics.

To accompany the quarterly data collection Health & Wellbeing Boards are required to provide a written narrative into the final tab to contextualise the information provided in this report and build on comments included elsewhere in the submission. This should include an overview of progress with your BCF plan, the wider integration of health and social care services, and a consideration of any variances against planned performance trajectories or milestones.

Cell Colour Key

Data needs inputting in the cell
Pre-populated cells
Question not relevant to you

Throughout this template cells requiring a numerical input are restricted to values between 0 and 100,000,000.

Content

The data collection template consists of 8 sheets:

Checklist - This contains a matrix of responses to questions within the data collection template.
1) Cover Sheet - this includes basic details and tracks question completion.
2) Budget arrangements - this tracks whether Section 75 agreements are in place for pooling funds.
3) National Conditions - checklist against the national conditions as set out in the BCF Policy Framework 16-17 and BCF planning guidance.
4) Income and Expenditure - this tracks income into, and expenditure from, pooled budgets over the course of the year.
5) Supporting Metrics - this tracks performance against the two national metrics, a DTOC metric, a Non-Elective Admissions metric, locally set metric and locally defined patient experience metric in BCF plans.

6) Additional Measures - additional questions on new metrics that are being developed to measure progress in developing integrated, cooridnated, and person centred care.
7) Narrative - this allows space for the description of overall progress on BCF plan delivery and performance against key indicators.

Checklist

This sheet contains all the validations for each question in the relevant sections.

All validations have been coloured so that if a value does not pass the validation criteria the cell will be Red and contain the word "No" and if they pass validation they will be coloured Green and contain the word "Yes".

1) Cover Sheet

On the cover sheet please enter the following information:

The Health and Well Being Board
Who has completed the report, email and contact number in case any queries arise
Please detail who has signed off the report on behalf of the Health and Well Being Board

Question completion tracks the number of questions that have been completed, when all the questions in each section of the template have been completed the cell will turn green. Only when all 7 cells are green should the template be sent to england.bettercaresupport@nhs.net

2) Budget Arrangements

This section requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to confirm if funds have been pooled via a Section 75 agreement. Please answer as at the time of completion.

Have the funds been pooled via a s.75 pooled budget?
If the answer to the above is 'No' please indicate when this will happen

3) National Conditions

This section requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to confirm whether the eight national conditions detailed in the Better Care Fund Policy Framework 16/17 (https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/490559/BCF_Policy_Framework_2016-17.pdf) and Better Care Fund Planning Guidance 16/17 (http://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/part-rel/transformation-fund/bcf-plan/) have been met through the delivery of your plan. Please answer as at the time of completion.

It sets out the eight conditions and requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to confirm 'Yes', 'No' or 'No - In Progress' that these have been met. Should 'No' or 'No - In Progress' be selected, please provide an estimated date when condition will be met, an explanation as to why the condition was not met within the year (in-line with signed off plan) and how this is being addressed.

Full details of the conditions are detailed at the bottom of the page.

4) Income and Expenditure

This tracks income into, and expenditure from, pooled budgets over the course of the year. This requires provision of the following information:

Planned income into the pooled fund for each quarter of the 2016-17 financial year
Forecasted income into the pooled fund for each quarter of the 2016-17 financial year
Actual income into the pooled fund in Q1 2016-17
Planned expenditure from the pooled fund for each quarter of the 2016-17 financial year
Forecasted expenditure from the pooled fund for each quarter of the 2016-17 financial year
Actual expenditure from the pooled fund in Q1 2016-17

Figures should reflect the position by the end of each quarter. It is expected that the total planned income and planned expenditure figures for 2016-17 should equal the total pooled budget for the Health and Wellbeing Board.

There is also an opportunity to provide a commentary on progress which should include reference to any deviation from plan or amendments to forecasts made since the previous quarter.

5) Supporting Metrics

This tab tracks performance against the two national supporting metrics, a Delayed Transfers of Care metric, a Non-Elective Admissions metric, the locally set metric, and the locally defined patient experience metric submitted in approved BCF plans. In all cases the metrics are set out as defined in the approved plan for the HWB and the following information is required for each metric:

An update on indicative progress against the six metrics for Q1 2016-17
Commentary on progress against each metric

If the information is not available to provide an indication of performance on a measure at this point in time then there is a drop-down option to indicate this. Should a patient experience metric not have been provided in the original BCF plan or previous data returns there is an opportunity to state the metric that you are now using.

6) Additional Measures

This tab includes a handful of new metrics designed with the intention of gathering some detailed intelligence on local progress against some key elements of person-centred, co-ordinated care. Following feedback from colleagues across the system these questions have been modified from those that appeared in the last BCF Quarterly Data Collection Template (Q2 /Q3/Q4 2015-16). Nonetheless, they are still in draft form, and the Department of Health are keen to receive feedback on how they could be improved / any complications caused by the way that they have been posed.

For the question on progress towards instillation of Open APIs, if an Open API is installed and live in a given setting, please state 'Live' in the 'Projected 'go-live' date field.
For the question on use and prevalence of Multi-Disciplinary/Integrated Care Teams please choose your answers based on the proportion of your localities within which Multi-Disciplinary/Integrated Care Teams are in use.

For the PHB metric, areas should include all age groups, as well as those PHBs that form part of a jointly-funded package of care which may be administered by the NHS or by a partner organisation on behalf of the NHS (e.g. local authority). Any jointly funded personal budgets that include NHS funding are automatically counted as a personal health budget. We have expanded this definition following feedback received during the Q3 reporting process, and to align with other existing PHB data collections.

7) Narrative

In this tab HWBs are asked to provide a brief narrative on overall progress, reflecting on performance in Q1 16/17.

Better Care Fund Template Q1 2016/17

Data Collection Question Completion Checklist

1. Cover

Health and Well Being Board	completed by:	e-mail:	contact number:	Who has signed off the report on behalf of the Health and Well Being Board:
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

2. Budget Arrangements

Have funds been pooled via a S.75 pooled budget? If no, date provided?
Yes

3. National Conditions

	1) Are the plans still jointly agreed?	2) Maintain provision of social care services	3i) Agreement for the delivery of 7-day services across health and social care to prevent unnecessary non-elective admissions to acute settings and to facilitate transfer to alternative care settings when clinically appropriate	3ii) Are support services, both in the hospital and in primary, community and mental health settings available seven days a week to ensure that the next steps in the patient's care pathway, as determined by the daily consultant-led review, can be taken (Standard 9)?	4i) Is the NHS Number being used as the consistent identifier for health and social care services?
			7 day services		
Please Select (Yes, No or No - In Progress)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
If the answer is "No" or "No - In Progress" please enter estimated date when condition will be met if not already in place (DD/MM/YYYY)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
If the answer is "No" or "No - In Progress" please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met within the quarter (in-line with signed off plan) and how this is being addressed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

4. I&E (2 parts)

		Q1 2016/17	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17
Income to	Plan	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Forecast	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Actual	Yes		
	Please comment if there is a difference between the annual totals and the pooled fund	Yes		
Expenditure From	Plan	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Forecast	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Actual	Yes		
	Please comment if there is a difference between the annual totals and the pooled fund	Yes		
Commentary on progress against financial plan:		Yes		

5. Supporting Metrics

		Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	Commentary on progress
NEA		Yes	Yes
DTOC		Yes	Yes
Local performance metric		Yes	Yes
Patient experience metric	If no metric, please specify	Yes	Yes
	Yes		
Admissions to residential care		Yes	Yes
Reablement		Yes	Yes

6. Additional Measures

	GP	Hospital	Social Care	Community	Mental health
NHS Number is used as the consistent identifier on all relevant correspondence relating to the provision of health and care services to an individual	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Staff in this setting can retrieve relevant information about a service user's care from their local system using the NHS Number	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

	To GP	To Hospital	To Social Care	To Community	To Mental health
From GP	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
From Hospital	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
From Social Care	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
From Community	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
From Mental Health	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
From Specialised Palliative	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

	GP	Hospital	Social Care	Community	Mental health
Progress status	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Projected 'go-live' date (mm/yy)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Is there a Digital Integrated Care Record pilot currently underway in your Health and Wellbeing Board area?
Yes

Total number of PHBs in place at the end of the quarter	Yes
Number of new PHBs put in place during the quarter	Yes
Number of existing PHBs stopped during the quarter	Yes

Of all residents using PHBs at the end of the quarter, what proportion are in receipt of NHS Continuing Healthcare (%)	Yes
Are integrated care teams (any team comprising both health and social care staff) in place and operating in the non-acute setting?	Yes
Are integrated care teams (any team comprising both health and social care staff) in place and operating in the acute setting?	Yes

7. Narrative

Brief Narrative	Yes

	Data sharing		
4ii) Are you pursuing open APIs (i.e. systems that speak to each other)?	4iii) Are the appropriate Information Governance controls in place for information sharing in line with the revised Caldicott Principles and guidance?	4iv) Have you ensured that people have clarity about how data about them is used, who may have access and how they can exercise their legal rights?	5) Is there a joint approach to assessments and care planning and ensure that, where funding is used for integrated packages of care, there will be an accountable professional
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Q4 2016/17
Yes
Yes

Yes
Yes

Specialised palliative
Yes
Yes

To Specialised palliative
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes

Specialised palliative
Yes
Yes

Cover

Q1 2016/17	

Health and Well Being Board	Rotherham

completed by:	Karen Smith

E-Mail:	karen-nas.smith@rotherham.gov.uk

Contact Number:	01709 254870

Who has signed off the report on behalf of the Health and Well Being Board:	Sharon Kemp and Chris Edwards

Question Completion - when all questions have been answered and the validation boxes below have turned green you should send the template to england.bettercaresupport@nhs.net saving the file as 'Name HWB' for example 'County Durham HWB'

	No. of questions answered
1. Cover	5
2. Budget Arrangements	2
3. National Conditions	36
4. I&E	21
5. Supporting Metrics	13
6. Additional Measures	67
7. Narrative	1

Budget Arrangements

Selected Health and Well Being Board: Rotherham

Have the funds been pooled via a s.75 pooled budget?	Yes

If the answer to the above is 'No' please indicate when this will happen (DD/MM/YYYY)	

National Conditions

Selected Health and Well Being Board: | Rotherham

The BCF policy framework for 2016-17 and BCF planning guidance sets out eight national conditions for access to the Fund.	
Please confirm by selecting 'Yes', 'No' or 'No - In Progress' against the relevant condition as to whether these have been met, as per your final BCF plan.	
Further details on the conditions are specified below.	
If 'No' or 'No - In Progress' is selected for any of the conditions please include an explanation as to why the condition was not met within this quarter (in-line with signed off plan) and how this is being addressed?	

Condition (please refer to the detailed definition below)	Please Select ('Yes', 'No' or 'No - In Progress')	If the answer is "No" or "No - In Progress" please enter estimated date when condition will be met if not already in place (DD/MM/YYYY)	If the answer is "No" or "No - In Progress" please provide an explanation as to why the condition was not met within the quarter and how this is being addressed:
1) Plans to be jointly agreed	Yes		
2) Maintain provision of social care services	Yes		
3) In respect of 7 Day Services - please confirm:			
i) Agreement for the delivery of 7-day services across health and social care to prevent unnecessary non-elective admissions to acute settings and to facilitate transfer to alternative care settings when clinically appropriate	Yes		
ii) Are support services, both in the hospital and in primary, community and mental health settings available seven days a week to ensure that the next steps in the patient's care pathway, as determined by the daily consultant-led review, can be taken (Standard 9)?	Yes		
4) In respect of Data Sharing - please confirm:			
i) Is the NHS Number being used as the consistent identifier for health and social care services?	Yes		
ii) Are you pursuing Open APIs (ie system that speak to each other)?	Yes		
iii) Are the appropriate Information Governance controls in place for information sharing in line with the revised Caldicott Principles and guidance?	Yes		
iv) Have you ensured that people have clarity about how data about them is used, who may have access and how they can exercise their legal rights?	No - In Progress	31/01/17	A Proposed Consent Model was agreed at the Rotherham Interoperability Group on 31.8.16. The Consent Model states that the ability to access a patient's information may be done via implied consent for direct care. The public must however be effectively informed that the data is in use and have the option to object to their records (from any organisation) being shared. Access of a record must be done on the explicit consent of the individual for each episode of
5) Ensure a joint approach to assessments and care planning and ensure that, where funding is used for integrated packages of care, there will be an accountable professional	Yes		
6) Agreement on the consequential impact of the changes on the providers that are predicted to be substantially affected by the plans	Yes		
7) Agreement to invest in NHS commissioned out of hospital services, which may include a wide range of services including social care	Yes		
8) Agreement on a local target for Delayed Transfers of Care (DTOC) and develop a joint local action plan	Yes		

National conditions - detailed definitions

The BCF policy framework for 2016-17 and BCF planning guidance sets out eight national conditions for access to the Fund:

1) Plans to be jointly agreed

The Better Care Fund Plan, covering a minimum of the pooled fund specified in the Spending Review, and potentially extending to the totality of the health and care spend in the Health and Wellbeing Board area, should be signed off by the Health and Wellbeing Board itself, and by the constituent Councils and Clinical Commissioning Groups.

In agreeing the plan, Clinical Commissioning Groups and local authorities should engage with health and social care providers likely to be affected by the use of the fund in order to achieve the best outcomes for local people. Furthermore, there should be joint agreement across commissioners and providers as to how the Better Care Fund will contribute to a longer term strategic plan. This should include an assessment of future capacity and workforce requirements across the system. The implications for local providers should be set out clearly for Health and Wellbeing Boards so that their agreement for the deployment of the fund includes recognition of the service change consequences. The Disabled Facilities Grant (DFG) will again be allocated through the Better Care Fund. Local housing authority representatives should therefore be involved in developing and agreeing the plan, in order to ensure a joined-up approach to improving outcomes across health, social care and housing.

2) Maintain provision of social care services

Local areas must include an explanation of how local adult social care services will continue to be supported within their plans in a manner consistent with 2015-16.

The definition of support should be agreed locally. As a minimum, it should maintain in real terms the level of protection as provided through the mandated minimum element of local Better Care Fund agreements of 2015-16. This reflects the real terms increase in the Better Care Fund.

In setting the level of protection for social care localities should be mindful to ensure that any change does not destabilise the local social and health care system as a whole. This will be assessed compared to 2015-16 figures through the regional assurance process.

It should also be consistent with 2012 Department of Health guidance to NHS England on the funding transfer from the NHS to social care in 2013-14:

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/213223/Funding-transfer-from-the-NHS-to-social-care-in-2013-14.pdf

3) Agreement for the delivery of 7-day services across health and social care to prevent unnecessary non-elective (physical and mental health) admissions to acute settings and to facilitate transfer to alternative care settings when clinically appropriate.

Local areas are asked to confirm how their plans will provide 7-day services (throughout the week, including weekends) across community, primary, mental health, and social care in order:

• To prevent unnecessary non-elective admissions (physical and mental health) through provision of an agreed level of infrastructure across out of hospital services 7 days a week;
• To support the timely discharge of patients, from acute physical and mental health settings, on every day of the week, where it is clinically appropriate to do so, avoiding unnecessary delayed discharges of care. If they are not able to provide such plans, they must explain why.

The 10 clinical standards developed by the NHS Services, Seven Days a Week Forum represent, as a whole, best practice for quality care on every day of the week and provide a useful reference for commissioners (https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/clinical-standards1.pdf).
By 2020 all hospital in-patients admitted through urgent and emergency routes in England will have access to services which comply with at least 4 of these standards on every day of the week, namely Standards 2, 5, 6 and 8. For the Better Care Fund, particular consideration should be given to whether progress is being made against Standard 9. This standard highlights the role of support services in the provision of the next steps in a person's care pathway following admission to hospital, as determined by the daily consultant-led review, and the importance of effective relationships between medical and other health and social care teams.

4) Better data sharing between health and social care, based on the NHS number

The appropriate and lawful sharing of data in the best interests of people who use care and support is essential to the provision of safe, seamless care. The use of the NHS number as a consistent identifier is an important element of this, as is progress towards systems and processes that allow the safe and timely sharing of information. It is also vital that the right cultures, behaviours and leadership are demonstrated locally, fostering a culture of secure, lawful and appropriate sharing of data to support better care.

Local areas should:
• confirm that they are using the NHS Number as the consistent identifier for health and care services, and if they are not, when they plan to;
• confirm that they are pursuing interoperable Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) (i.e. systems that speak to each other) with the necessary security and controls (https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/05/open-api-policy.pdf; and
• ensure they have the appropriate Information Governance controls in place for information sharing in line with the revised Caldicott principles and guidance made available by the Information Governance Alliance (IGA), and if not, when they plan for it to be in place.
• ensure that people have clarity about how data about them is used, who may have access and how they can exercise their legal rights. In line with the recommendations from the National Data Guardian review.

The Information Governance Alliance (IGA) is a group of national health and care organisations (including the Department of Health, NHS England, Public Health England and the Health and Social Care Information Centre) working together to provide a joined up and consistent approach to information governance and provide access to a central repository guidance on data access issues for the health and care system. See - http://systems.hscic.gov.uk/infogov/iga

5) Ensure a joint approach to assessments and care planning and ensure that, where funding is used for integrated packages of care, there will be an accountable professional

Local areas should identify which proportion of their population will be receiving case management and named care coordinator, and which proportions will be receiving self-management help - following the principles of person-centred care planning. Dementia services will be a particularly important priority for better integrated health and social care services, supported by care coordinators, for example dementia advisors.

6) Agreement on the consequential impact of the changes on the providers that are predicted to be substantially affected by the plans

The impact of local plans should be agreed with relevant health and social care providers. Assurance will also be sought on public and patient and service user engagement in this planning, as well as plans for political buy-in. This should complement the planning guidance issued to NHS organisations.

There is agreement that there is much more to be done to ensure mental and physical health are considered equal and better integrated with one another, as well as with other services such as social care. Plans should therefore give due regard to this.

7) Agreement to invest in NHS commissioned out of hospital services, which may include a wide range of services including social care

Local areas should agree how they will use their share of the £1 billion that had previously been used to create the payment for performance fund.

This should be achieved in one of the following ways:

- To fund NHS commissioned out-of-hospital services, which may include a wide range of services including social care, as part of their agreed Better Care Fund plan; or

- Local areas can choose to put an appropriate proportion of their share of the £1bn into a local risk-sharing agreement as part of contingency planning in the event of excess activity, with the balance spent on NHS commissioned out-of-hospital services, which may include a wide range of services including social care (local areas should seek, as a minimum, to maintain provision of NHS commissioned out of hospital services in a manner consistent with 15-16);

This condition replaces the Payment for Performance scheme included in the 2015-16 Better Care Fund framework.

8) Agreement on local action plan to reduce delayed transfers of care (DTOC)

Given the unacceptable high levels of DTOC currently, the Government is exploring what further action should be taken to address the issue.

As part of this work, under the Better Care Fund, each local area is to develop a local action plan for managing DTOC, including a locally agreed target.

All local areas need to establish their own stretching local DTOC target - agreed between the CCG, Local Authority and relevant acute and community trusts. This target should be reflected in CCG operational plans. The metric for the target should be the same as the national performance metric (average delayed transfers of care (delayed days) per 100,000 population (attributable to either NHS, social care or both) per month.

As part of this plan, we want local areas to consider the use of local risk sharing agreements with respect to DTOC, with clear reference to existing guidance and flexibilities. This will be particularly relevant in areas where levels of DTOC are high and rising.

In agreeing the plan, Clinical Commissioning Groups and local authorities should engage with the relevant acute and community trusts and be able to demonstrate that the plan has been agreed with the providers given the need for close joint working on the DTOC issue.

We would expect plans to:

• Set out clear lines of responsibility, accountabilities, and measures of assurance and monitoring;
• Take account of national guidance, particularly the NHS High Impact Interventions for Urgent and Emergency Care, the NHS England Monthly Delayed Transfers of Care Situation Reports Definition and Guidance, and
best practice with regards to reducing DTOC from LGA and ADASS;
• Demonstrate how activities across the whole patient pathway can support improved patient flow and DTOC performance, specifically around admissions avoidance;
• Demonstrate consideration to how all available community capacity within local geographies can be effectively utilised to support safe and effective discharge, with a shared approach to monitoring this capacity;
• Demonstrate how CCGs and Local Authorities are working collaboratively to support sustainable local provider markets, build the right capacity for the needs of the local population, and support the health and care workforce - ideally through joint commissioning and workforce strategies;
• Demonstrate engagement with the independent and voluntary sector providers.

Plan, forecast, and actual figures for total income into, and total expenditure from, the fund for each quarter to year end (in both cases the year-end figures should equal the total pooled fund)

Selected Health and Well Being Board: Rotherham

Income

Q1 2016/17 Amended Data:

		Q1 2016/17	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Annual Total	Total BCF pooled budget for 2016-17 (Rounded)
Please provide, plan, forecast and actual of total income into the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should equal the total pooled fund)	Plan	£6,080,817	£6,080,817	£6,080,817	£6,080,817	£24,323,268	£24,323,269
	Forecast	£6,080,817	£6,080,817	£6,080,817	£6,080,817	£24,323,268	
	Actual*	£6,080,817					

Please comment if one of the following applies: - There is a difference between the planned / forecasted annual totals and the pooled fund - The Q1 actual differs from the Q1 plan and / or Q1 forecast	There is no difference between the planned/forecasted annual totals and the pooled fund. The Q1 actual does not differ from the Q1 plan and/or Q1 forecast.

Expenditure

Q1 2016/17 Amended Data:

		Q1 2016/17	Q2 2016/17	Q3 2016/17	Q4 2016/17	Annual Total	Total BCF pooled budget for 2016-17 (Rounded)
Please provide, plan, forecast and actual of total expenditure from the fund for each quarter to year end (the year figures should equal the total pooled fund)	Plan	£6,080,817	£6,080,817	£6,080,817	£6,080,817	£24,323,268	£24,323,269
	Forecast	£6,080,817	£6,080,817	£6,080,817	£6,080,817	£24,323,268	
	Actual*	£6,080,817					

Please comment if one of the following applies: - There is a difference between the planned / forecasted annual totals and the pooled fund - The Q1 actual differs from the Q1 plan and / or Q1 forecast	There is no difference between the planned/forecasted annual totals and the pooled budget. The Q1 actual does not differ from the Q1 plan and/or Q1 forecast.

Commentary on progress against financial plan:	All schemes were in place and gained good traction in 2015/16 therefore all programmes of work in 2016/17 are well underway. The BCF is on plan to utilise the alloted fundin. A risk share agreement is in place and will utilise the risk pool funding.

Footnotes:

*Actual figures should be based on the best available information held by Health and Wellbeing Boards.

Source: For the pooled fund which is pre-populated, the data is from a quarterly collection previously filled in by the HWB and has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

National and locally defined metrics

Selected Health and Well Being Board: Rotherham

Non-Elective Admissions	Reduction in non-elective admissions
Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	On track to meet target
Commentary on progress:	Q1 number of admissions is below target. This is subject to close monitoring as admissions to some specialties are above CCG contractual targets with providers. These specialties are generally higher cost.

Delayed Transfers of Care	Delayed Transfers of Care (delayed days) from hospital per 100,000 population (aged 18+)
Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	On track to meet target
Commentary on progress:	Year to Date (Q1) target is 769.4. Performance is 676.0.

Local performance metric as described in your approved BCF plan	Emergency readmissions < 30 days of hospital discharge (all ages) PHOF4.11NHSOF3b - NB. local variation to national measure, using patients registered with a Rotherham GP, not LA population.
Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	No improvement in performance
Commentary on progress:	Performance is currently off track and requires further investigation.

Local defined patient experience metric as described in your approved BCF plan	Inpatient Experience: The proportion of people reporting a poor patient experience of inpatient care. (Average number of negative responses per 100 patients)
If no local defined patient experience metric has been specified, please give details of the local defined patient experience metric now being used.	
Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	Data not available to assess progress
Commentary on progress:	Data not yet published nationally

Admissions to residential care	Rate of permanent admissions to residential care per 100,000 population (65+)
Please provide an update on indicative progress against the metric?	On track to meet target
Commentary on progress:	Qtr 1 figures show 50 admissions to date which equates to a rate of 102 per 100,000 population which whilst acknowledging some time lag in qtr 1 recording we assess the measure to be within target profile.

Additional Measures

Selected Health and Well Being Board: | Rotherham

Improving Data Sharing: (Measures 1-3)

1. Proposed Measure: Use of NHS number as primary identifier across care settings

	GP	Hospital	Social Care	Community	Mental health	Specialised palliative
NHS Number is used as the consistent identifier on all relevant correspondence relating to the provision of health and care services to an individual	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Staff in this setting can retrieve relevant information about a service user's care from their local system using the NHS Number	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

2. Proposed Measure: Availability of Open APIs across care settings

Please indicate across which settings relevant service-user information is currently being shared digitally (via Open APIs or interim solutions)

	To GP	To Hospital	To Social Care	To Community	To Mental health	To Specialised palliative
From GP	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Shared via interim solution	Not currently shared digitally	Shared via interim solution
From Hospital		Shared via interim solution	Shared via interim solution	Not currently shared digitally	Shared via interim solution	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally
From Social Care	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Shared via Open API	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally
From Community	Shared via interim solution	Shared via interim solution	Not currently shared digitally	Shared via interim solution	Not currently shared digitally	Shared via interim solution
From Mental Health	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally
From Specialised Palliative	Shared via interim solution	Not currently shared digitally	Not currently shared digitally	Shared via interim solution	Not currently shared digitally	Shared via interim solution

In each of the following settings, please indicate progress towards instillation of Open APIs to enable information to be shared with other organisations

	GP	Hospital	Social Care	Community	Mental health	Specialised palliative
Progress status	Installed (not live)	In development	In development	In development	Unavailable	In development
Projected 'go-live' date (dd/mm/yy)	31/01/17	31/01/17	31/01/17	31/01/17	31/01/18	31/01/17

3. Proposed Measure: Is there a Digital Integrated Care Record pilot currently underway?

Is there a Digital Integrated Care Record pilot currently underway in your Health and Wellbeing Board area?	Pilot currently underway

Other Measures: Measures (4-5)

4. Proposed Measure: Number of Personal Health Budgets per 100,000 population

Total number of PHBs in place at the end of the quarter	90
Rate per 100,000 population	34

Number of new PHBs put in place during the quarter	0
Number of existing PHBs stopped during the quarter	0
Of **all** residents using PHBs at the **end** of the quarter, what proportion are in receipt of NHS Continuing Healthcare (%)	100%

Population (Mid 2016)	261,412

5. Proposed Measure: Use and prevalence of Multi-Disciplinary/Integrated Care Teams

Are integrated care teams (any team comprising both health and social care staff) in place and operating in the **non-acute** setting?	Yes - in some parts of Health and Wellbeing Board area
Are integrated care teams (any team comprising both health and social care staff) in place and operating in the **acute** setting?	Yes - in some parts of Health and Wellbeing Board area

Footnotes:

Population projections are based on Subnational Population Projections, Interim 2014-based (published May 2016).
http://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/populationprojections/datasets/localauthoritiesinenglandz1
Q4 15/16 population figures onwards have been updated to the mid-year 2016 estimates as we have moved into the new calendar year.

Narrative

Remaining Characters	29,191

Please provide a brief narrative on overall progress, reflecting on performance in Q1 16/17. Please also make reference to performance across any other relevant areas that are not directly reported on within this template.

BCF Governance continues to closely monitor specific full and accelerated implementation of the two projects linked to the BCF national conditions within agreed timescales. These are:

National Condition 3 - 7 day services to support patients being discharged at weekends has now been established and fully operational since 1.12.15. A review has has now been carried out in 2016/17 to measure success and outcomes of this project. It has been agreed that the pilot will continue, pending Phase 2 of the adult social care restructure, when this will become a permanent arrangement in September 2016. A further review will look at whether there needs to be changes in working patterns to improve effectiveness.

National Condition 4 - NHS Number being used as primary identifier for health and social care services   Work now completed to ensure better sharing between heath and social care. There are 5,495 adults who were in the scope of the NHS number matching project and all BCF records now have an NHS number assigned. Our new social care system will go "live" in December 2016 and this includes the facility to integrate with the NHS 'Patient Demographic Service' (PDS) – which will deliver the ability to quickly look up NHS numbers on the NHS spine. We will begin using the NHS number on our correspondence when the new Liquidlogic system is "live" (Liquidlogic includes the facility to add NHS numbers to correspondence with little extra work). Whilst we are waiting for PDS to go "live" we will add new NHS numbers manually. Training materials have been issued which demonstrate to practitioners in adult social care how to use the NHS number field in the incumbent system. This includes mechanisms for maintaining the NHSN in the interest of ensuring that the field is always populated and that it should be captured as early as possible during the social care pathway.

The BCF Programme Board continues to take a lead in developing proposals for integration, which is part of our local STP Plan and BCF Plan. The BCF PLan for 2016/17 has now been agreed by Health and Wellbeing Board members on 28.4.16, which details our key achievements, key priorities for 2016-2019, risk assessment, contingency planning and patient engagement. A new Section 75 agreement has been developed which was approved at the Health and Welbeing Board on 1.6.16.
We are now carrying out a series of individual "deep dive" service reviews on BCF schemes which will identify if there are any funding or performance issues or where there are concerns regarding strategic relevance. Service reviews will take place betwee May and December 2016.

Delayed Transfers of Care (DTOC) - Q1 2016/17 performance is positive and below trajectory. A DTOC multi agency plan is in place and weekly meetings take place on a multi agency basis to address delayed transfers of care.
Personal Health Budgets - there are a total of 69 adults and 21 children in receipt of a PHB during Quarter 1 of 2016/17. All assessed CHC or CCC